

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/791,576	03/02/2004	Seetha Ramaiah Mannava	133474	3113
7:	590 02/13/2006		EXAM	INER
Steven J. Rosen			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
Patent Attorney 4729 Cornell R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cinicinnati, OH 45241			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/791,576	MANNAVA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Samuel M. Heinrich	1725
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 D</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-52 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 sheets</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-24, in the reply filed on December 05, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO9525821 to Dulaney in view of USPN 6,200,689 to Ferrigno et al. Dulaney describes laser shock peening comprising the use of a high fluence laser beam and a

Application/Control Number: 10/791,576

Art Unit: 1725

low fluence laser beam in order to reduce edge effects. The low fluence peening can be performed at the periphery of the high fluence peening area. Ferrigno et al show (Figure 8) laser shock peening which is performed with a beam that is normal to a surface in one area and wherein the beam is progressively angled as the beam transitions to a peripheral area. The use of angled beams in the Dulaney peening process would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because it provides a method of transitioning from the deeply peened area to the peripheral area. The instant claimed fluence, number of rows of peened spots, and operating power would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art depending on the particular tools and work pieces selected for peening.

Page 3

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art pertains to laser processing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,576

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M. Herruch
Samuel M Heinrich
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725